The Velay dome (French Massif Central): melt generation and granite emplacement during orogenic evolution
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Abstract

This paper is a synthesis of available data on the Velay dome that include both small- and large-scale lithologic and structural mapping, strain analysis, isotope geochemistry, geochronology and pressure–temperature estimates. The Velay dome, one of the largest granite–migmatite domes of the Variscan Belt, formed during orogenic collapse at around 300 Ma. Its study allows an assessment of the thermal and geodynamic context leading to voluminous crustal anatexis of the Variscan orogenic crust. A first melting stage developed in connection with south-verging thrust zones during the Early Carboniferous, leading to a crustal thickening estimated at 20 km minimum. The involvement of fertile lithologies and the intrusion of plutons of deep origin contributed to the development of water-saturated melts. The volume of biotite granite extracted from melt during this period was limited. The second phase of melting, corresponded to generalized melting of gneiss achieved by biotitedehydration melting reactions and accompanied by the generation of cordierite-bearing granites. At this stage, crustal-scale detachment faults were active and partially obliterated the earlier structures. The new structures were progressively tilted to the vertical at the margin of the Velay dome due to the southward and lateral ballooning of the granitic dome. The reconstructed P, T path indicate that the large volume of melt produced was a consequence of a significant increase in temperature at the onset of biotite dehydration melting. At the base of the crust, this melting event is coeval with granulite facies metamorphism associated to underplating of mantle-derived magmas as suggested by the geochemical signature of Late Paleozoic lower crustal xenoliths sampled by Cenozoic volcanoes and with the isotopic signature of the late granitic intrusions. Accordingly, it is proposed that asthenospheric upwelling was responsible for the temperature increase favoring melting of hydrous minerals. © 2001 Published by Elsevier Science B.V.
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1. Introduction

The generation of large granite–migmatite complexes by crustal melting during orogeny is a process still discussed in particular because of the deep, inaccessible location of their production sites (Clemens, 1990; Brown, 1994). Moreover, the development of a partially molten middle crust during collision tectonics implies a major change in the rheology of the thickened crust and largely control its behaviour during orogenic collapse (Vanderhaeghe and Teyssier, 2001). Thus, the Variscan belt which exposes numerous granitic intrusions and large migmatitic complexes is of great interest to study the role of partial melting during orogenic evolution (Brown and Dallmeyer, 1996; Gardien et al., 1997; Vanderhaeghe et al., 1999). The Velay migmatite–granite dome located in the SE Massif Central (Fig. 1) offers a unique opportunity to examine the thermal conditions required for widespread crustal anatexis and the consequences of the presence of the generation of a large volume of partially molten rocks on the evolution of the Variscan orogenic crust.

Previous work in this area provided the following results and models:

- Montel et al. (1992) describe two successive stages of anatexis, first under water-saturated conditions with biotite stable followed by melting under biotite dehydration conditions.
- Burg and Vanderhaeghe (1993) proposed that the amplification of the Velay dome cored by migmatites and granites reflects gravitational instabilities within a partially molten middle crust during late-orogenic extension.
- Lagarde et al. (1994) suggested that the deformation pattern of the Velay dome records southward lateral expansion of the granites below the detachment zone of the Pilat, one of the major normal faults developed during the collapse of the Variscan belt (Malavieille et al., 1990).
- Geochemical and petrological data published by Williamson et al. (1992), Montel et al. (1992) and Barbey et al. (1999) indicate that the Velay dome has followed a clockwise $P$–$T$–time evolution overprinted by a thermal peak due to the underplating of mafic magmas.

In this paper, we present a synthesis of available structural, petrologic, geochemical and geochronological data illustrated with new maps of the main granite and migmatite units. The significance of this body of work is discussed in the general context of the Variscan Belt, and in terms of the thermal conditions required to generate large volume of crustal melts as exemplified by the Velay dome.

2. Tectonic evolution of the Eastern Massif Central

The French Variscan Belt (Fig. 1) results from collision between Laurussia and Gondwana (Matte, 1986). The Massif Central exposes a section of orogenic crust estimated to be about 20-km thick in its innermost zone, made up of Late Neoproterozoic to Permian terranes transformed during successive metamorphic events (Pin and Peucat, 1986). Contrasts in lithologic, structural and metamorphic evolution between the main gneiss units define three lithotectonic domains separated by major thrust zones (Figs. 1 and 2, Burg and Matte, 1978; Ledru et al., 1994a,b; Chantraine et al., 1996).

The remnants of Early Paleozoic oceanic or marginal basins constitute the protoliths of the Upper Gneiss Unit. Presently in an upper geometric position, this unit contains dismembered basic–ultrabasic complexes at its base overlain by gneisses derived from granites, microgranites, acid and basic volcanics, tuffs and grauwackes. Numerous eclogitic relics are preserved within basic layers marking an Eovariscan stage of lithospheric subduction (450–400 Ma). Structural and radiometric data show these rocks were exhumed from 90 km at 420–400 Ma to less than 30 km at 360–380 Ma while subduction was still active (Lardeaux et al., in press).

The north Gondwana continental margin is represented by (a) a Lower Gneiss Unit composed of para- and ortho-derived rocks of Late Neoproterozoic to Early Paleozoic age, and (b) a mainly sedimentary parautochthonous sequence. This margin underwent a general medium-pressure metamorphism attributed to the thrusting of the Upper Gneiss Unit which occurred during Devonian, prior to 350 Ma in the internal zone (Mesovariscan period). In the south (Fig. 2), the Cévennes micaschists are interpreted as the parautochthonous domain. Maximum $P$–$T$ conditions dur-
Fig. 1. The Velay dome shown on the scale of the European Variscides (adapted from Autran and Cogné, 1980; Matte, 1986).
Fig. 2. Simplified geologic map of the eastern margin of the Massif Central and chronological markers (from Chantraine et al., 1996).
ing the metamorphic evolution are there estimated at 500 °C, 5 kbar, with the muscovite–chlorite–garnet parageneses being synchronous with southward thrusting and a thickening estimated at about 15 km (Arnaud and Burg, 1993; Arnaud, 1997). The closure of micas to Ar diffusion has been dated at 335–340 Ma (Ar39/40, Caron et al., 1991).

Finally, the Paleozoic cover of the northern Gondwana continental margin is represented by a complex of nappes exposed in the southern part of the Massif Central, which is progressively involved during Viséan in the collision history (Fig. 1). The tectonic evolution of the Eastern Massif Central is thus characterized by a southward migration of tectonic events, from the internal towards the external zone, between 400 and 330 Ma (Table 1). From 330 Ma, signs of syn-collision extension are recorded, followed by transverse extension from 315 to 290 Ma (Burg et al., 1994). Granites and migmatites are formed during all this evolution. Thus, the emplacement of large peraluminous porphyric granites (Margeride) and potassic monzogranite of calc-alkaline affinity (Forez, Morvan) during Viséan is followed by the development of the Velay migmatite–granite dome during Westphalian (Fig. 2). Finally, a granulite facies metamorphism of the base of the crust dated at 300 ± 20 Ma (U/Pb, Pin and Vielzeuf, 1983) is attested by the xenoliths brought up by the Tertiary volcanoes of the Velay (Leyreloup, 1973; Dupuy et al., 1977).

3. Geologic setting of the Velay dome

The Velay dome (Fig. 2, Plate 1), about 100 km in diameter, is composed of peraluminous granites (about 70%) characterized by abundance of nodular and prismatic cordierite and by enclaves of gneisses (25%) and granites (5%) of various nature and size (Didier, 1973; Dupraz and Didier, 1988). Three main structural zones are defined: (1) the host rocks intruded by syntectonic granites precursor of the Velay dome; (2) the gneiss–migmatite zone, at the periphery and at the roof of the Velay dome; (3) the cordierite-bearing migmatite–granite domain constituting the most evolved pole of the granitisation of the crust.

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chronology of tectonic events in the French Massif Central</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mesovariscan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morvan platform</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haut Allier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lyonnais - Vivarais</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pilat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Margeride - Livradois</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Velay granite - migmatite dome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cévennes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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3.1. The host rocks of the Velay dome

The main lithologic units of the Variscan nappe stack identified in Section 2 appear either at the periphery of the Velay dome or as enclaves within the migmatite–granite core. Rocks attributed to the Upper Gneiss Unit are preserved in the northeastern part of the dome roof (Maclas synform; Gardien and Lardeaux, 1991) and are intruded by migmatites and granites along the northwestern (Haut Allier) and southeastern margins (Alboussaïre synform) of the Velay dome. Structures and metamorphism are attributed to the mesovariscan thrusting of this unit over the domain comprised between the Monts du Lyonnais and the Cévennes (D1-2 tectonic phase, Table 1). Metamorphic peak conditions are found in the Lyonnais where a coesite-bearing eclogite has been recently discovered in the Monts du Lyonnais, indicating a minimum 28-kbar pressure (Lardeaux et al., in press), while in most eclogites, P–T conditions are estimated at 16–14 kbar and 700–770 °C (Gardien and Lardeaux, 1991). Anatectic metapelites surrounding the eclogites yield a date of 384 ± 16 Ma, interpreted as a crystallization age (Rb/Sr whole rock, Duthou et al., 1994).

The host of the Velay dome is primarily composed of rocks of the Lower Gneiss Unit: (i) metasediments derived from pelites and argilites, (ii) augen orthogneiss (the “Arc de Fix”) originating from peraluminous porphyric granite dated at 528 ± 9 Ma (Rb–Sr whole rock, R’Kha Chaham et al., 1990). In the vicinity of the main thrust contact with the Upper Gneiss unit, the main deformation D1–2 (foliation S1–2, lineation L2) is related to Mesovariscan thrusting (Table 1). The metamorphic evolution was marked by widespread development of sillimanite–biotite parageneses within the paragneiss and micaschist series, locally with kyanite or staurolite–garnet relic association (Gardien, 1990). However, in the western margin of the Velay dome (Feybesse et al., 1995), a D3 reactivation of this nappe pile is marked by south verging thrust zones and related S3–L3 fabric (Table 1).

In the south, the Velay migmatite–granite intrudes the parautochthonous domain. The main structures observed are related to Neovariscan thrusting at around 340 Ma and are considered as equivalent to the D3 reactivation of the Mesovariscan nappe pile on the western margin of the Velay dome. In the Cévennes paragneisses and micaschists, metamorphic conditions increase northward towards the Velay dome contact and reflect an abnormally high geothermal gradient (Weisbrod et al., 1980). Successive biotite–andalusite, andalusite–cordierite and biotite–sillimanite parageneses are consistent with the evolution of the Lower Gneiss Unit on the northern and western edges of the dome (Chenevoy and Ravier, 1968; Gardien, 1990).

The Lower Gneiss Unit is affected in the northeastern margin of the dome by the Pilat detachment Fault (Gardien, 1990; Malavieille et al., 1990), extending westward into the Chambles granite, which underwent solid-state deformation consistent with top to the north sense of shear (Roig and Faure, 1995). NE–SW foliation and N–S trending stretching lineations developed during low-pressure high-temperature metamorphism are related to this deformation (D4a tectonic stage, Table 1, Fig. 3).

Large antiforms and synforms of plurikilometric wavelength are parallel to the contact with the Velay dome (Fig. 4). This peripheral folding revealed by the foliation trajectories is attributed to the ballooning of the Velay migmatite–granite dome and the tilting of a flat-lying foliation during final emplacement of the cordierite-bearing granites (D4b tectonic stage, Table 1). It is noted that the sediments of the St Etienne basin are deposited in the hinge of such synform structure (Plate 1).

3.2. Porphyric granites emplaced at the periphery of the Velay dome

Homogeneous porphyric granites and leucogranites have intruded the host rocks of the Velay dome (Plate 1). They constitute a quasi continuous belt of laccolith-like plutons stretched along the regional structures and represent a peak in the production of granites in the Eastern French Massif Central. Their emplacement postdates D1–2 structures attributed to Mesovariscan thrusting and predates cordierite-bearing granites in which they are found as enclaves (Table 1, Fig. 5a).

Small monzodiorite to monzogabbrodiorite massifs (locally called vaugnerites and high-K magnesian monzodiorite in the rest of the text), with shoshonitic affinities, are associated with these intrusions, presenting distinct or blurred boundaries (Fig. 5b,c). The high-
Plate 1. Lithologic and structural map of the Velay migmatite-granite dome and its host rocks. Geologic cross-sections.
Fig. 3. Map of the stretching lineations. The orientation of the lineations is interpolated at the nodes of a kilometre-scale grid with a range of 2 x 2 km. It is calculated by eigenvector analysis of the covariance matrix. Length of arrow is proportional to the plunge.
Fig. 4. Orientation of the main strain surface illustrating the finite shape of the dome. The orientation is interpolated at the nodes of a 0.5 × 0.5 km grid with a range of 2 × 2 km. The average orientation in each node is calculated by eigenvector analysis of the covariance matrix. If a fault cross-cuts the network, values are treated separately on each side of the fault. Each value is weighted by the reciprocal of the distance to the node of the grid.
K magnesian monzodiorite provide evidence for the early involvement of the enriched mantle in this magmatic suite (Pin and Duthou, 1990; Sabatier, 1991).

The characteristics of the main porphyric granites are summarised on Table 2. Geochronologic and geologic arguments suggest that they emplaced between 335 and 315 Ma. The magmatic fabric is weakly developed in the central part of the massifs but well pronounced at their margins. At the base of the laccoliths, metric to decametric sheets are injected parallel to the foliation of the host rocks (Feybesse et al., 1995). Planar and linear fabrics, locally mylonitic textures, are well developed within these granitic sheets, defined by orientation of large K feldspar phenocryst and biotite. Kinematics criteria define a top to the south sense of shear. Foliation of the host rocks is marked by the preferred orientation of biotite–andalusite or biotite–sillimanite, in continuity with the magmatic fabric, indicating that regional deformation and plutonism were coeval. At the regional scale, foliation trajectories in granites are in continuity with the foliation of their host rocks, both being refolded by the D_{4b} peripheral folding. Accordingly, these granites appear to be emplaced during the Neovariscan D_{3} tectonic phase as defined above in the host rocks of the laccoliths (Table 1).

3.3. The Velay migmatites

The migmatites that appear in the core of the Velay dome range from metatexites, whose structure was inherited from the parent gneiss, to diatexites and granites. Migmatites preserving K feldspar phenocrysts and diatexites with biotite–sillimanite ± cordierite derived, respectively, from ortho and paragneisses of the Lower Gneiss Unit (Plate 1). Large rafts of migmatitic gneisses preserved within the granite dome may be screens between intrusions or refractory layers. Centimeter size micaceous enclaves are com-

Fig. 5. The precursor plutons of the Velay migmatite–granite dome. (a) Porphyric granite intrudes by cordierite-bearing granite (Privas, southern margin of the Velay dome). (b,c; detail) High-K magnesian monzodiorite enclaves (vaugnerite) (E) within the heterogeneous banded biotite granite (hbG). Holocleocrotic reaction aureoles (hra) are well developed at the margin of the enclave. An aplite dyke (A) cross-cuts these primary magmatic features (Firminy, northern margin of the Velay dome).
mon as residual unmolten mineral assemblages throughout the dome.

The foliation trajectories at the periphery of the dome are affected by \( D_{ab} \) folding with axial plane parallel to the contact of the dome (Fig. 4, Plate 1). Second order domes as the Alleys dome were formed by the interference between south-verging \( D_3 \) and \( D_{ab} \) folds (Feybesse et al., 1995). \( D_{ab} \) folds are overturned to the SE in the southeastern edge of the Velay dome (Lagarde et al., 1994) reflecting the southward expansion of the dome. Synmigmatitic way-up criteria preserved in metatexites suggest that the foliation was tilted from a horizontal position to its current subvertical to overturned dip during doming (Burg and Vanderhaeghe, 1993).

At the roof of the eastern part of the dome, in the region of Annonay, the gneissic units crop out as large wavelength synforms, geometrically related to the host rocks of the Lower Gneiss Unit to the North (Figs. 4 and 6, Plate 1). From the base to the top, several sections show: a discontinuous layer of migmatitic paragneiss, migmatitic orthogneiss overlain by nonmigmatitic orthogneiss, and micaschist with amphibolite layers (Plate 1). This stack is affected by N–S extension, with a shear zone particularly well marked within orthogneiss where foliation is flat lying and stretching lineation N–S trending. Microstructural studies and preferred orientation of quartz \( \{001\} \) axes show that leucosomes underwent high-temperature plastic deformation in a non coaxial strain regime, consistent with a top to the north sense of shear (Fig. 7a). Granite intrusions in the vicinity of this fault also underwent high-temperature plastic deformation consistent with top to the north sense of shear (Fig. 7b,c). This shear zone is interpreted as the southern extension of the Pilat extensional shear zone (Malavieille et al., 1990). Further to the South, an orthogneiss located at the roof of the dome dis-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Name of the pluton</th>
<th>Structural context</th>
<th>Age, method and references</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Northeast</td>
<td>Civrieux granite</td>
<td>Syntectonic granite emplaced in the dextral NE–SW strike-slip faults</td>
<td>339±8 Ma (Rb–Sr, whole rock; Gray et al., 1981) plateau ages between 350 and 335 Ma (Ar39–40 on biotites; Costa et al., 1993)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northeast</td>
<td>Gouffre d’Enfer granite</td>
<td>Penetrative extensional deformation</td>
<td>322±9 Ma (Caen Vachette et al., 1984; Vitel, 1988)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West</td>
<td>Chaise Dieu porphyritic granite and leucogranite</td>
<td>Laccolith (45 km², 2–4-km thick), highly strained on its southern margin, southward displacement along subhorizontal shear surfaces and northerly trending sinistral faults (Feybesse et al., 1995)</td>
<td>323±12 Ma (Rb–Sr, whole rock; Couturié and Caen-Vachette, 1979), 334±7 Ma (U–Pb; Respaut, 1984),</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southwest</td>
<td>Margeride granite</td>
<td>Laccolith (3000 km², 5-km thick), presents a mylonitic texture at its base with south-verging criteria (Feybesse et al., 1995)</td>
<td>305±4 Ma (U–Pb monazite; Lafon and Respaut, 1988)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southwest</td>
<td>Margeride leucogranites</td>
<td>Small intrusive bodies, post-dating the deformation of the Margeride granite</td>
<td>302±4 Ma (Rb–Sr, whole rock; Caen Vachette et al., 1981)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South</td>
<td>Rocles granite</td>
<td>Syntectonic laccolith</td>
<td>313±3 and 314±3 Ma ((207^{Pb}/206^{Pb} \text{ and } 206^{Pb}/207^{Pb}, \text{ respectively; Ait Malek, 1997}))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South</td>
<td>Monzodiorite to monzogabbrodiorite with shoshonitic affinities</td>
<td>Enclaves and small intrusive bodies</td>
<td>337±13 Ma (Rb–Sr, whole rock; Batias and Duthou, 1979)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southeast</td>
<td>Tournon granite</td>
<td>Laccolith (130 km², 2–4-km thick), presents a sill complex highly strained on its southern margin</td>
<td>302±4 Ma (Rb–Sr, whole rock; Caen Vachette et al., 1981)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 6. Map of foliation dip isovalues. Subvertical zones are shown in pale grey and subhorizontal zones are in black.
plays conjugate extensional shear zones with a N–S stretching lineation (Figs. 4, 6 and 3). At the regional scale, these shear zones are folded by antiformal D4b structures cored by granitic domes. These observations suggest that the granites partly emplaced along crustal-scale normal shear zones and achieved their final ascent while the main activity along this fault system had ceased.

In the migmatites and in the gneissic hosts, the following melting reactions are identified (Fig. 8).

- The first melting stage developed under $P–T$ conditions exceeding those for water-saturated quartz–feldspathic rocks, with biotite remaining stable: around 700 °C, 4 kbar within the metamorphic envelope, 5 kbar in the granitic core (M3 stage of Montel et al., 1992). The presence of corundum paragneiss enclaves confirms the initial presence of muscovite and the prograde character of this melting event (Ait Malek et al., 1995). A U–Pb monazite date indicates a minimum age of 314 ± 5 Ma (Mougeot et al., 1997). High-K magnesian monzodiorite, with mantle affinity are also dated at 313 ± 3 and 314 ± 3 Ma (207Pb–206Pb and U–Pb, respectively on zircon, Ait Malek, 1997). They contain peraluminous xenoliths that record a first stage of isothermal decompression at 700–800 °C, 8–10 kb, consistent with a source located more than 30-km deep, followed by a stage at 5–6 kb (Montel, 1985). In view of the water-saturated conditions, it is unlikely that large quantities of granite (i.e. < 10–20%) were produced and extracted at this stage (Patiño Douce and Johnston, 1990).
- The second stage of melting is characterized by high-temperature metamorphism in the cordierite stability field, with biotite destabilized: 760–850 °C, 4.4–6.0 kbar (stage M4 of Montel et al., 1992). Leucosomes were dated at 298 ± 8 Ma based on Rb–Sr whole rock isochron (Caen Vachette et al., 1982), and Rb–Sr whole rock–biotite isochrons yield ages between 305 and 276 Ma (Williamson et al., 1992). An age of 301 ± 5 Ma was obtained for the homogeneous parts of the granite using the U–Pb monazite method (Mougeot et al., 1997). Therefore, this second melting stage is considered to be generally synchronous with emplacement of the main cordierite-bearing granites. The volume of cordierite-bearing granites generated makes a case for massive partial melting at this stage, associated to destabilization of hydrous minerals.

The structural and textural evolution of the migmatites reflects the progressive impact on the rheologic behaviour of the partially molten rocks. The location of early melting is controlled by foliation anisotropy (Fig. 9a,b, Macaudière et al., 1992). In orthogneiss, anatexis first develops with the resorption of quartz along the exiting foliation (Dallain et al., 1999). The breakdown of muscovite is then accompanied by the growth of sillimanite and replacement of quartz–plagioclase aggregates by assemblages that are in equilibrium with the granite eutectic point, although K-feldspar aggregates are preserved. The breakdown of biotite is responsible for the production of melt beyond 30–50% (Dallain et al., 1999), the value of the Rheological Critical Melt Percentage (Arzi, 1978). Leucosomes with cockade-type cordierite produced during this second melting stage tend to be discordant with the inherited structure (Fig. 9c). Structural orientations then become more varied as the leucosome proportion increases, with folds becoming abundant and randomly oriented (Fig. 9d). This dispersion may reflect complex viscous flow within a dense suspension (Arzi, 1978; Van der Molen and Paterson, 1979; Burg and Vanderhaeghe, 1993).

3.4. The Velay granites

The various granites that appear in the Velay dome define a suite, with three main granite types distinguished according to age, structure, homogeneity, mineralogy and geochemistry (Plate 1).

1) A heterogeneous banded biotite granite, found mainly on the western margin of the dome and locally on the southern and eastern margin (Fig. 5b). It corresponds to the first generated granite of the Velay suite. Foliation trajectories are in continuity with porphyric granites in the external rim of the dome suggesting a continuity between these precursor granites and the development of the heterogeneous banded biotite granite.

2) A main biotite–cordierite granite, in which several subtypes may be distinguished, in particular according to the cordierite habitus (Barbey et al., 1999).

- A heterogeneous banded granite with abundant enclaves (Fig. 10a). Most of these enclaves represent incorporated and partly assimilated pieces of the
Lower Gneiss unit and precursor plutons originating from the host rocks, although some enclaves with refractory composition or granulite facies metamorphism have a lower crustal origin (Vitel, 1985).

Cordierite may be prismatic, cockade-type or mimetic overprinting previous biotite–sillimanite assemblages. Most of the heterogeneous granites indicate mixing between melts of lower-crustal origin and melts from the para- and ortho-derived host rock (Williamson et al., 1992).

- A homogeneous leucocratic biotite–cordierite granite with mainly cockade-type cordierite (Fig. 10b). Its emplacement has been dated at 301 ± 5 Ma using the U–Pb method on monazite (Mougeot et al., 1997).
- A homogeneous granite with biotite and prismatic cordierite as a primary ferromagnesian phase, with few enclaves. The heterogeneous and homogeneous granites with prismatic cordierite, with a high Sr content, have a mixed isotopic signature between the host rocks and a lower-crustal origin. The deep source is considered to be the melting of the lower mafic/felsic plutonic crust (Williamson et al., 1992).

A leucocratic granite with cockade-type cordierite, without enclaves (Fig. 10c). The cordierite–quartz aggregates postdate primary biotite bearing assemblages and probably prismatic cordierite.

(3) The late magmatic activity that includes:
- Homogeneous granite with K-feldspar porphyrocrysts and common prismatic cordierite, basic and micaceous inclusions (the Quatre Vios massif) (Fig. 10d). These granites are defined as late-migmatitic and are considered to be originated from melting of...
aluminous sediments at 4.5–5.5 kbar and 750–850 °C, under water-undersaturated conditions and have a significant basic component (Montel et al., 1986; Montel and Abdelghaffar, 1993). Ages at 274 ± 7 Ma (Rb/Sr whole rock, Caen Vachette et al., 1984) are considered to be partially reset during Permian or Mesozoic hydrothermal event (Montel et al., in press).

- Stephanian leucogranites, microgranite and aplite–pegmatite dykes, Permian rhyolites. Microgranite dykes have been dated at 306 ± 12 and 291 ± 7 Ma (microprobe dating of monazite, Montel et al., in press).

The composite and heterogeneous nature of the Velay dome reflects the successive generation and emplacement history of this granitic suite. In contrast, the fabric found in migmatites and granites seems relatively simple at the scale of the dome. Except within the leucocratic granite, foliation is well defined by mineral and enclave orientation, developed during magma crystallization and final formation of the dome, delineating the shape of the dome (Fig. 4). Granites are found in the core of second order antiformal structures (Plate 1). Foliation dip isovals reveal the contrast between the steep western half and the flat eastern half (Fig. 6). The stretching lineation...
marked by mineral alignment or enclaves elongation is radial at the scale of the dome, well marked on the southern margin, changing from NE–SW to SE–NW. The vertical plunge of the lineation in the central part of the dome is interpreted as related to the vertical flow of the magma from its source (Fig. 3, Lagarde et al., 1994).

A N–S cross-section shows that foliations are overturned on the southeastern margin of the dome dipping toward the inner part of the dome (Plate 1). These variations within the granite and migmatite are interpreted as being associated with the southward expansion of the Velay granite during emplacement (Lagarde et al., 1994).

Late-migmatitic granites are oriented NE–SW in the eastern part and NW–SE in the western part of the dome (Plate 1). Detailed mapping of the southern edge of the Velay dome shows that these bodies

---

Fig. 10. The main granite types of the Velay migmatite–granite dome (Privas, southern margin of the Velay dome). (a) Heterogeneous banded granite with abundant enclaves. The foliation (S) is marked by the preferred orientation of the biotite and the stretching of the micaceous enclaves (m). Cordierite (Cd) develops as patches in the melt and over the micaceous enclave. (b) Homogeneous leucocratic biotite–cordierite granite with mainly cockade-type cordierite (Cd). The foliation (S) is marked by the preferred orientation of the biotite. (c) Leucocratic granite with cockade-type cordierite (Cd). (d) Late-migmatitic homogeneous granite with basic (b) and micaceous (m) enclaves (the Quatre Vios massif).
were emplaced as laccoliths rooted in dyke networks.

4. Discussion: the significance of crustal anatexis in the Velay dome

The data summarized above allow a reconstruction of the tectonic context and thermal conditions prevailing during the formation of the Velay dome. The first melting event (Fig. 11a), from 340 to at most 314 Ma, developed at temperatures above the water-saturated quartz–feldspar solidus and was coeval with crustal thickening characterized by (a) thrusting of the Upper Gneiss Unit at the roof and margin of the dome, (b) decoupling and stacking of the Lower Gneiss Unit preserved within the migmatites, and (c) metamorphic paragenese of the Cévennes micaschists (Arnaud, 1997). At this stage, thermal relaxation and heat production within the thickened crust were probably the main causes of temperature increase (England and Thompson, 1984; Huerta et al., 1998). The emplacement of the peri-Velay precursor granites and high-K magnesian monzodiorite magmatism of mantle origin constitute an additional heat source.

Epimetamorphic units from the external zone of the Variscan Belt were progressively involved in the collision, resulting in the burial of fertile pelites and grauwackes that may have contributed to melting because they are a potential source for fluids and rich in hydrous minerals. This melting phase was characterized by the formation of biotite–sillimanite migmatites within which melt extraction was limited to probably less than 20%. The development of migmatites within augen orthogneiss at this stage indicates
a low melt fraction in the plagioclase-rich rocks, with most of the gneissic structure generated in subsolidus conditions (Dallain et al., 1999).

Once all the free water available had been fractionated in the melt, further melting proceeded by destabilization of biotite responsible for the generation of cordierite-bearing granites (Fig. 11b). According to Barbey et al. (1999), prismatic cordierite both in granites and leucosomes developed as a primary ferromagnesian phase at shallow crustal level while the formation of cockade-type cordierite reflect decompression linked to the ascent of the Velay dome. From a structural point of view, this second melting event is characterized by a generalized extensional tectonics well recorded at the roof of the dome and along north-dipping normal detachment zone active between 320 and 300 Ma as the Pilat fault (Malavieille et al., 1990). The activation of these detachments is associated to deposition of Stephanian sediments in between tilted blocks. This combination led to exhumation of the migmatite and granites (Vanderhaeghe et al., 1999). Leucosomes dated at the southern edge of the dome provide data on the exhumation of the dome as in all samples that were analysed U–Pb apatite dates converge at around 290 Ma (Mougeot et al., 1997).

What caused the temperature increase required to melt the Variscan crust from the initial to the final stages? According to current petrological and thermal numerical models (Thompson and Connolly, 1995; Huerta et al., 1998), a simple crustal thickening event followed by erosion can hardly account for the generation of large volumes of granite. Results from experimental petrology show that high melt fractions require conditions well above 800°C, above the destabilization curves of hydrous minerals (Clemens and Vielzeuf, 1987; Patinño Douce and Johnston, 1990; Gardien et al., 1995). In the Velay dome, partial melting of the thickened crust was intensified when biotite dehydration melting conditions were achieved, which coincided with the initiation of crustal extension. Granulite-facies metamorphism at the base of the Variscan crust is also dated at about 300 Ma (Pin and Vielzeuf, 1983;
Costa and Rey, 1995). The existence of mantle-derived and granulitic components in the lower crust is indicated by the aluminous and basic enclaves brought up in recent volcanoes (Leyreloup, 1973; Downes et al., 1990). At the scale of the Massif Central, the cNd values of monzogranitic–granodioritic rocks increased with decreasing age from 360 to 300 Ma, suggesting either an increasing contribution of a mantle component or the progressive melt of more mafic and refractory crustal sources or both (Pin and Duthou, 1990). According to these authors, the propagation of a large thermal anomaly toward the higher levels of crust was responsible for the large-scale geochemical variations.

Accordingly, we propose a model whereby the extra heat causing voluminous partial melting of the Variscan crust was supplied by asthenospheric upwelling and associated intrusion of mantle-derived magmas at the base of the crust. This asthenospheric upwelling may have been associated with the slab break off (Fig. 11b) and/or thermal erosion of the lithospheric root of the Variscan Belt (e.g. Rey et al., 1997).

5. Conclusion

Structural, petrologic and geochronological data presented in this study indicate that the formation of the Velay migmatite–granite dome results from the conjunction of several phenomena.

- Partial melting of the thickened crust started at about 340 Ma, while thrusting in the hinterland of the Variscan belt was still active, and ended during collapse of the orogenic crust at ~300 Ma. Crustal anatexis responsible for the generation of the rocks forming the Velay dome hence lasted about 40 Ma.
- Partial melting took place within a dominantly metasedimentary crustal layer dominated by fertile pelitic compositions. Melting reactions evolved from the water-saturated granitic solidus to destabilization of hydrous minerals and indicate that melting started at the end of the prograde metamorphic path and ended during decompression associated with exhumation of the migmatite–granite dome.
- Thermal relaxation and increased radioactive heat production following crustal thickening likely caused a rise in temperature during the evolution of the Variscan orogenic crust. However, it is proposed that heat advection from mantle-derived magmas and also asthenospheric upwelling coeval with orogenic collapse have provided the extra heat source required to melt a large volume of the thickened crust and generate the migmatites and granites of the Velay dome.

- The formation of the Velay dome, coeval with the activation of crustal-scale detachments, potentially corresponds to flow of a partially molten crustal layer in response to gravitational collapse.
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